SEX AND THE GSS: Nonresponse Differences - An Update

Tom W. Smith

GSS Methodological Report No. 9

NORC at the University of Chicago

September, 1979 Updated August, 2019

...

This updates and should be used in conjunction with Tom W. Smith, "Sex and the GSS - Nonresponse Differences," GSS Methodological Report No. 9. Chicago: NORC, 1979.

Addendum: 1972-2018

There have been only minor changes in the gender representation on the GSS through 2018 from what was described in this report written 40 years earlier. Table A1 shows the weighted and unweighted distributions of men and women on full-probability (FP) GSSs from 1975 through 2018. In all years the FP surveys underrepresent men. While the precise distribution of men and women has varied slightly over these years, it has remained close to adults in the United States being 48% men and 52% women for a true gender gap of about -4 percentage points. All gender difference levels show that men are being underrepresented in all FP GSSs well above the -4.0 points difference that should be found. The weighted figures are notably better than the unweighted figures. The weighting adjusts for design weights to give all adults living in households an equal probability of representation. In all years the weight adjusts for the number of eligible respondents in the household and in 2006-2018 it also adjusts for a second component, the subsampling of Nonrespondents (Smith, 2006; Smith et al., 2019). In 1970s-1990s the grouped weighed figures at the bottom of table A1 show reductions of the underrepresentation of men compared to the unweighted figures by 3.4 to 4.2 points and in 2000s and 2010s the gains are 1.8 to 2.4 points. The unweighted figures show a decline in the underrepresentation on men from -13.4 to -14.4 in the first three time periods to -10.6 to -10.8 in the two most recent periods. The weighted figures however show much less change over time from -10.8 in the 1970s, -10.4 in the 1980s, -9.2 in the 1990s, -8.2 in the 2000s, and -9.0 in the 2010s. Thus, even when properly weighted and taking the slightly improved levels in the last two decades, men are still underrepresented by about a gender gap of about 4-5 points.

In 1972-1974 and on half of the 1975-1976 samples, the GSS used probability sampling with quotas (PSQ) rather than FP sampling. The block level quotas were based on a combination of gender, age, and employment status (Stephenson, 1979). Given the quota on gender, it is not surprising that the gender distributions using PSQ come closer to the true gender distribution than the FP surveys do (Table A2). But they still have problems. It appears that in 1972 the quota was set to yield equal numbers of men and women which overrepresents men, and in 1973, 1974, and 1976 the unweighted figures show men are still slightly underrepresented despite the quotas being better set. When design weights are applied, the overrepresentation of men in 1972 climbs to + 5.2. In 1973-1976, the weighted gender distributions are close to the target, but with slight overrepresentation of men.

In 1982 and 1987, there were oversamples of blacks in the GSS (Tourangeau and Smith, 1985; Smith, 1989). As Table A3 shows, black men are heavily underrepresented in both oversamples. Proper weighting only slightly reduces the underrepresentation. Even though the male proportion in the adult black population is lower than the overall male share of the adult household population, the underrepresentation of males in the black oversamples is greater than the male underrepresentation for the total population.

Overall, the underrepresentation of males in the GSS and other FP household samples in the United States remains a continuing nonresponse bias

affecting the representativeness of surveys.

Table A1

Gender Distributions on the GSS's Full Probability Samples

Weighted Unweighted Men -Men -Women Women Men Women Men Women 1975 44.2 55.8 41.8 58.2 -16.4-11.61976 43.2 56.8 42.2 57.8 -13.6-15.61977 46.6 53.4 -10.0 45.3 54.7 - 9.4 1978 43.3 56.7 -13.4 42.0 58.0 -16.0 1980 41.1 55.9 -14.8 43.7 56.3 -12.642.4 57.6 1982 44.8 55.2 -10.4 -15.243.2 56.8 1983 45.1 54.9 - 9.8 -13.6 1984 42.6 57.4 -14.8 40.6 59.4 -18.8 44.9 55.1 47.2 52.8 1985 - 5.6 -10.2 1986 44.1 55.9 -11.8 42.2 57.8 -15.61987 44.5 54.5 -10.0 43.7 56.3 -12.6 45.1 54.9 43.1 56.9 1988 - 9.8 -13.8 45.2 54.8 - 9.6 42.9 57.1 1989 -14.21990 45.2 54.8 - 9.6 44.0 56.0 -12.0 44.2 55.8 41.9 58.1 1991 -11.6 -16.2 44.4 55.6 1993 -11.2 42.7 57.3 -14.643.1 56.9 1994 45.5 54.5 - 9.0 -13.8 46.8 53.2 - 6.4 44.2 55.8 1996 -11.6 1998 45.0 55.0 -10.0 43.5 56.5 -13.0 43.6 56.4 - 9.6 -12.8 2000 45.2 54.8 2002 45.8 54.2 - 8.4 44.4 55.6 -11.2 46.3 53.7 - 7.4 45.5 54.5 2004 - 9.0 45.6 54.4 - 8.8 44.4 55.6 2006 -11.2 - 6.0 2008 47.0 53.0 46.0 54.0 - 8.0 2010 45.2 54.8 - 9.6 43.6 56.4 -12.8 2012 46.1 53.9 - 7.8 44.8 55.2 -10.4 45.5 54.5 - 9.0 45.0 55.0 2014 -10.045.2 54.8 - 9.6 44.5 55.5 2016 -11.02018 45.5 54.5 - 9.0 49.8 55.2 -10.4 1975-79 44.6 55.2 -10.8 43.1 56.9 -13.8 47.8 57.2 -10.4 1980-89 44.8 55.2 -14.41990-99 45.4 54.6 - 9.2 43.3 56.7 -13.445.9 54.1 - 8.2 44.7 55.3 2000-09 -10.6

- 9.0

44.6 55.4

-10.8

2010-18

45.5 54.5

Table A2

Gender Distributions on the GSS's Probability Sampling with Quotas Surveys

		Weighted			Unweighted	
	Men	Women	Men - Women	Men	Women	Men - Women
1972 1973 1974 1975 1976	52.6 47.9 48.4 48.9 49.2	52.1 51.6 51.1	+ 5.2 - 4.2 - 3.2 - 2.2 - 1.6	50.5 46.6 46.6 48.1 47.0	53.4 53.4	0.0 - 6.8 - 6.8 - 3.8 - 6.0

Table A3

Gender Distributions on the GSS's Black Oversamples

		Weighted			Unweighted	
	Men	Women	Men - Women	Men	Women	Men - Women
1982 1987	40.5	59.5 60.0	-19.0 -20.0	39.5 38.8	60.5 61.2	-21.0 -22.4

Appendix References

- Stephenson, C. Bruce, "Probability Sampling with Quotas: An Experiment," GSS Methodological Report No.7, April, 1979. Published in Public Opinion Quarterly, 43 (Winter, 1979), 477-496.
- Tourangeau, Roger and A. Wade Smith, "Finding Subgroups for Surveys," <u>Public</u> Opinion Quarterly, 49(Fall,1985), 351-365.
- Smith, A. Wade, "Evaluating the Products of Alternative Sampling Methods," <u>Social</u> Indicators Research, 21 (1989), 175-191.
- Smith, Tom W., "The Subsampling of Nonrespondents on the 2004 General Social Survey," GSS Methodological Report No. 106. Chicago: NORC, 2006.
- Smith, Tom W., Davern, Michael; Freese, Jeremy; and Morgan Stephen L., <u>General</u> Social Survey, 1972-2018: Cumulative Codebook. Chicago: NORC, 2019.
- Stephenson, C. Bruce, "Weighting the General Social Surveys for Bias Related to Household Size," GSS Technical Report No.3. Chicago: NORC, 1978.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Crossley, Helen M. and Raymond Fink. "Response and Non-Response in a Probability Sample," <u>International Journal of Opinion and Attitude Research</u>, 5 (Spring, 1951).
- Filon, F.L. "Exploring and Correcting for Nonresponse Bias Using Follow ups of Nonrespondents," Pacific Sociological Review, 19 (July, 1976).
- Gannon, Martin,; Joseph C. Nothern; and Stephen J. Carroll, Jr.

 "Characteristics of Nonrespondents Among Workers," Journal of Applied Psychology, 55 (1971).
- Hawkins, Darnell F. "Estimation of Nonresponse Bias," Sociological Methods and Research, 3 (May, 1975).
- Nonresponse in Detroit Area Study Surveys: A Ten Year Analysis, Working Papers in Methodology, No. 8 (Chapel Hill, N.C.: Institute for Research in Social Science, University of North Carolina, 1977).
- King, Benjamin F. and Carol Richards. "The 1972 NORC National Probability Sample," Unpublished Litho, Chicago: NORC, 1972 .
- Lowe, Francis E. and Thomas C. McCormick. "Some Survey Sampling Biases,"

 Public Opinion Quarterly, 19 (Fall, 1955).
- Lundberg, George A. and Otto N. Larsen, "Characteristics of Hard-to-Reach Individuals in Field Surveys," <u>Public Opinion Quarterly</u>, 13 (Fall, 1949).
- Stephenson, C. Bruce. 'Weighting the General Social Surveys for Bias Related to Household Size," GSS Technical Report No. 3. Chicago: NORC, 1978a.
- . "A Comparison of Full-Probability and Probability-with-Quotas Sampling Techniques in the General Social Surveys," GSS Technical Report No. 5 Chicago: NORC, 1978b.
- Strasser, Paul J. and Stephens, Susan A. "Effects of Interviewer and Respondent Gender Matching Versus Mismatching of Responses to Survey Questions," Paper presented to the Annual Conference of the American Association for Public Opinion Research, Buck Hill Falls, Pennsylvania, June, 1979.
- Sudman, Seymour and Norman M. Bradburn. Response Effects in Surveys: A Review and Synthesis, Chicago: Aldine, 1974.
- Sudman, Seymour. "Probability Sampling with Quotas," Journal of the American Statistical Association 61 (Sept., 1966).

- Taylor, D. Garth. "Procedures for Evaluating Trends in Qualitative Indicators," in Studies of Social Change Since 1948, edited by James A. Davis. NORC Report No. 12/A. Chicago; NORC, 1976.
- Weaver, Charles N.; Sandra L. Holmes and Norval D. Glenn, "Some Character istics of Inaccessible Respondents in a Telephone Survey, "Journal of Applied Psychology. 60 (1975).