THE 1989 SOCIOECONOMIC INDEX OF OCCUPATIONS: CONSTRUCTION FROM THE 1989 OCCUPATIONAL PRESTIGE SCORES

1

1

Keiko Nakao

University of New Mexico

Judith Treas

University of California, Irvine

GSS Methodological Report No. 74

May 1992

This research was supported by a grant funded by the National Science Foundation (SES-8809289). The authors are indebted to NORC and to the Principal Investigators and Board of Overseers of the General Social Survey for making possible the data collection. The authors are particularly grateful to the late Robert W. Hodge for initiating the prestige project. Helpful comments on this research project were obtained from Robert Hauser, Andrea Tyree, Paul Siegel, and Tom Smith. The authors acknowledge the excellent research assistance of Hsinmu Chen.

THE 1989 SOCIOECONOMIC INDEX OF OCCUPATIONS: CONSTRUCTION FROM THE 1989 OCCUPATIONAL PRESTIGE SCORES

Keiko Nakao University of New Mexico Judith Treas University of California, Irvine

Over the last three decades, Duncan's Socioeconomic Index (SEI) took its place as one of the most frequently used measures of occupational status in varieties of social research. The SEI was originally derived from a smaller set of rated occupational titles to estimate prestige scores for those occupational categories that were not included in the landmark 1947 North-Hatt prestige survey (Duncan, 1961). Age-standardized education and income levels of male occupational incumbents from the 1950 Census of Population were used to predict prestige. Since its initial construction in 1961, the SEI has been updated with new prestige evaluations from the 1960s to take account of the decennial changes in the Census classification of occupations (Blau and Duncan, 1967; Hauser and Featherman, 1977; Stevens and Featherman, 1981; Stevens and Cho, 1985).

Although updated SEI scales have served the social science community well, they, too, have become obsolete since they are based on the now-dated prestige scores computed from the 1960s inquiries. Further, some unavoidable error was no doubt

introduced every time the SEI was modified to correspond to a new classification scheme in the Census of Population. Since the change that occurred in the 1980 Census classification of occupations was particularly dramatic, the potential for error has become even more serious.

In 1989, NORC conducted an occupational prestige inquiry in conjunction with the General Social Survey, and a new set of prestige scores was constructed from respondents' evaluations of occupational titles (Nakao and Treas, 1990a). The research design of the 1989 inquiry adopted that of the 1960s' studies so as to further comparability between the prestige scales. It departed from the earlier prestige studies in its effort to cover virtually all detailed occupational categories in the 1980 Census classification in a single study. This was accomplished by using 10 subsamples of approximately 125 respondents each and by asking respondent subsamples to rate a set of 40 common occupational titles as well as 70 titles unique to one or another subsample. This generated prestige evaluations for a total of 740 occupational titles. On the bases of these evaluations, prestige scores were assigned to all 503 detailed occupational categories in the 1980 Census.

The 1989 prestige study offers an opportunity to update the SEI to reflect both the occupational evaluations of contemporary Americans and the new occupational classification system ushered in 1980. This paper briefly reviews the history of efforts to update the original SEI and describes construction of new SEI scores with newly available data. In

so doing, we consider several computational alternatives. We then evaluate the new SEI by comparing it with existing measures of occupational status and by applying it in analyses of the 1989 General Social Survey.

Since the 1989 prestige inquiry covered virtually all detailed occupational categories in the Census, there is no need to construct a proxy measure of prestige using Census information, the objective motivating Duncan's construction of the original SEI. Regardless of the original intention, the SEI over the years established itself as a useful measure of occupational status with properties that are different from those of prestige scores. Although the SEI and the prestige scores are highly correlated with each other, the SEI has been shown to be a preferred description of the socioeconomic hierarchy of occupations in the intergenerational transmission of status (e.g., Featherman and Hauser, 1976; Treas and Tyree, Thus, updating SEI in light of a new classification 1979). system, shifting public opinion, and changing relations of objective and subjective status indicators is a useful undertaking.

DUNCAN'S ORIGINAL SEI AND ITS REVISIONS

Duncan (1961) estimated prestige scores using a linear combination of education and income levels for male occupational incumbents from the 1950 Census of Population. Using 45 occupational titles in the 1947 North-Hatt prestige study, prestige scores were regressed on education and income

indicators to yield weights that would predict prestige. As, the dependent variable, Duncan employed the proportion reporting "Excellent" and "Good" ratings for an occupational title, instead of the computed prestige score, because the proportion magnified the range for middle status occupations (Duncan, 1961, p.118-119). This better ability to differentiate fine status gradations was a desirable measurement property. The independent variables, education and income, were standardized for their respective age distributions. Education was measured by the proportion of males in an occupation with four years of high school or more in 1950 while the income measure consisted of the proportion of males with personal incomes of \$3,500 or more in 1949.

Blau and Duncan (1967) and Hauser and Featherman (1977) transformed the original SEI to correspond to the 1960 Census classification scheme. This was done by simply matching the 1950 scores into the 1960 occupational codes; they did not recompute the scores using the income and education indicators from the 1960 Census.

Stevens and Featherman (1981) achieved a major revision when the SEI was updated for the 1970 Census codes. Instead of a mere matching of earlier scores into the new census categories, the scores were recalculated using 1970 Census data on education and income distributions. After computing the scores with alternative measures of the independent and dependent variables, Stevens and Featherman (1981) recommended two sets of indexes, one computed on the characteristics of the male labor force and the other on those of the total labor , force. Both used the estimated proportion of "good" and "excellent" ratings as the dependent variable (instead of prestige scores themselves) regressed on the proportion of occupational incumbents with personal incomes of \$10,000 or more in 1969 and the proportion with one or more years of college education in 1970.

To accommodate the major change in the Census classification scheme from 1970 to 1980, another revision was achieved by Stevens and Cho (1985). This revision involved matching 1970 codes to 1980 codes without recomputing scores on the basis of new data from the 1980 Census on the characteristics of occupational incumbents. Stevens and Cho demonstrated that the change in the Census classification had little effect on the overall characteristics of the SEI measure. This was strong testimony to their matching procedure, but it did not accommodate potential changes in the educational qualifications and remuneration of occupations, in public perceptions of occupational prestige, or in the relation between prestige evaluations and occupational characteristics.

COMPUTING THE 1989 SOCIOECONOMIC INDEX

Like Stevens and Featherman (1981) who revised the 1950 SEI scores for the 1970 Census codes, we considered various ways of defining the dependent variable (occupational prestige) and predictor variables (education and income) in the equation to estimate the 1989 SEI scores.

<u>Dependent variable</u>: In the original Duncan index, the dependent variable was defined as the proportion of "good" or "excellent" ratings by respondents in the 1947 NORC North-Hatt prestige inquiry. Compared with occupational prestige scores, this operationalization had the desirable property of magnifying the range of the middle status occupations (Duncan, 1961). In the 1989 prestige study, the prestige of occupations was evaluated in terms of nine rungs of a ladder instead of the five categories used in the 1947 study. To be comparable to the original SEI, a cut-off within the nine rungs had to be defined that would correspond to the one employed in the Duncan computation. The proportion of respondents who rated above each possible cut-off or rating was calculated for all 740 occupation titles. The fifth rung proved to be the most appropriate cut-off point, because the plot of the proportion of respondents who rated 5 or above against the corresponding prestige score showed an elongated "S" shape. As seen in Figure 1, the cut-off discriminates the middle range occupations better than did the prestige scores themselves.

--- Figure 1 about here ---Since the prestige score was another obvious dependent variable for computing the SEI scores, we explored both possibilities.¹

<u>Independent Variables</u>: In Duncan's construction of the original SEI, the education measure consisted of the age-standardized proportion of males within each occupation with 4 years of high school or more in 1950. The income

measure was the age-standardized proportion of males with incomes of \$3500 or more in 1949.

Until scores were derived for 1970 categories, the education and income measures were limited to the distribution of male incumbents. As Stevens and Featherman (1981) argue, it is appropriate to utilize information on all incumbents (not only males) since the socioeconomic scores describe the position of <u>occupations</u>. The increase in the female labor force since the 1950s also argues for including females in the computation of the SEI scores. To evaluate the implications of this position, the scores were computed based on both male and total incumbents.

We have used data from the 1980 Census of Population for the education and income measures. For all detailed categories of the 1980 Census Occupational Classification, educational and income levels of full-time incumbents were standardized for their age distributions, as done in the original SEI construction procedure. For the educational measure, we computed the proportion of incumbents with one or more years of college, as consistent with the revision by Stevens and Featherman (1981). The cut-off of one or more years of college was chosen over other cut-offs, because it best approximates the education index in the 1950s' SEI (i.e., 4 years of high school or more) in terms of the proportion of population attaining a given educational level.

The income measure was defined as the proportion of incumbents with \$15,000 or more in 1979. If we adjust income

for inflation according to the Consumer Price Index, as done, by Stevens and Featherman (1981), the income cut-off would have been \$20,000. However, we found that the \$15,000 cut-off produced a better approximation of the income index used in the original SEL.²

Number of Occupational Titles: Duncan's equation was based on prestige scores for 45 occupational titles common to both the 1947 NORC study and the three-digit 1950 census codes. Since titles were limited due to the limited coverage of the 1947 study and since they did not represent the labor force distribution, there is no reason to stick with 45 titles when many more matching titles are available for the 1989 inquiry and the 1980 Census. Prestige scores have been computed (see Nakao and Treas, 1990a) for all 503 detailed occupational categories from the 1989 prestige inquiry. Thus, we can regress prestige scores on the educational and income measures for all 503 occupational categories.

In the 1989 prestige inquiry, 40 titles were rated by all respondents of the GSS. Other titles were rated by only a subsample. The evaluations for the 40 titles are arguably superior to those for other titles, because the 40 are well known and based on so many more observations. Furthermore, the 40 titles were chosen to reflect the distribution of the entire labor force across major occupational groups. SEI scores based on the 40 titles are a useful check on the scores using 503 categories.

RESULTS

We generate eight equations for estimating SEI. They differ in terms of their operationalization of the dependent prestige variable, the number of titles on which they are based, and whether their independent variables reflect the education and income of male or total occupational incumbents. As indicated by the R-squares in Table 1, the two objective measures of occupation--education and income--account for

--- Table 1 about here ---

between 61 and 76 percent of the variation in occupational prestige. Estimates based on the characteristics of the total labor force are to be preferred over those based on the male labor force, because they generate consistently higher R-squares and lower standard errors for the coefficients. On the criterion of minimizing standard errors, equations based on the full 500 occupational titles³ are also to be preferred over the replicated common core of 40 titles.

The standardized coefficients for all eight equations demonstrate that educational qualifications carry more weight than income in determining social standing. Although Duncan (1961) had reported income to be about as salient as education, our findings on the importance of schooling are consistent with those of Stevens and Featherman (1981). The disproportionate weight attributed to income in Duncan's estimates derived, in part, from his reliance on the characteristics of male occupational incumbents. When SEI scores are computed by regressing the North-Hatt prestige measures on the age-standardized characteristics of female incumbents in the, 1950 Census of Population, education weights more heavily than income in the predictive equation (Treas, 1976). Apparently, sex differences still hold in the way objective status characteristics relate to subjective evaluations; the relative weight of education vis a vis income is greater when the characteristics of the total labor force are considered rather than when the analysis rests exclusively on men. For both sexes, however, educational qualifications in a line of work count for more than the money earned in determining an occupation's general social standing.

Table 2 displays the means and standard deviations of the

--- Table 2 about here ---

estimated SEI scores, as well as the prestige scores, for major occupational categories in the 1980 Census of Population. Noteworthy in Table 2 is the difference in standard deviations between the two methods of defining the dependent variable. Using the proportion of ratings 5 or above as the dependent variable produces scales with greater dispersion (i.e., standard deviations) than using the prestige scores. As anticipated, the former better discriminates various occupations, a desirable property in a measure of occupational status. It is also consistent with the ways this index was defined by earlier investigators.

Although there is no absolute criterion to judge which method produces the best scale, we feel that the preferred measures are the SEIs estimated over 500 occupations using

proportion of ratings 5 or above. In terms of male-based vs, total-based SEIs, Featherman and Stevens (1982) argue that the male-based SEI was preferable, especially for analyses on male respondents, but Stevens and Cho (1985) voiced concern about the use of male-based indexes, given the rise in women's labor force participation and their movement into stereotypically male jobs. In principle, a measure which can be applied to both males and females should be available to researchers. Although we present both versions of the SEI, our results indicate no reason to disfavor the SEI for the total occupational incumbents. The SEI scores are intended to measure status of occupations that include both male and female incumbents, not merely male workers.

EVALUATING THE 1989 SEI SCORES

•:

Comparisons between the prestige scores and previous SEI scores serve to evaluate the new 1989 SEI scores.

For various SEI and prestige scores, Table 3 presents the means, standard deviations, and intercorrelations based on 503 detailed occupational categories in the 1980 Census classification. It includes the two sets of newly constructed 1989 SEI scores (i.e., total-based and male-based), the previous SEI scores recalibrated to correspond to the 1980 Census occupational categories by Stevens and Cho (1985), the 1989 prestige scores (Nakao and Treas, 1990a), and the prestige scores recalibrated by Stevens and Hoisington to 1980 Census categories (1983).

The correlation coefficients between the three SEI are very high, ranging from .93 to .97. Correlations between SEI measure and related prestige scores are lower (.84 to .89). Since SEI and prestige tap somewhat different constructs, this is to be expected. The new Nakao-Treas SEI scores have higher overall means than do earlier versions of the SEI--this is partly a function of the overall rise in prestige scores from the 1960s to 1989, especially among the lower status occupations (Nakao and Treas, 1990b).

While the anticipated levels of consistency among the various SEI scores and the prestige scores are confirmed across the Census categories, it is also important that the comparisons be made using representative sample data. The Census occupational categories, after all, do not correspond to the labor force distribution. We use the 1989 General Social Survey to assess the same set of SEI and prestige scores.

Bivariate correlations in Table 4 based on the GSS

--- Tables 4 about here ---

respondents again confirm the overall correspondence among various SEI and prestige scores.

CONCLUSION

In this paper we described the procedure of constructing the 1989 SEI scores. Among various methods of estimating scores using the linear equation of prestige regressed on income and education, our choice is based on the premise that status measures comparable to the previous measures must be

available to the users. We make available two versions of the SEI scores, one based on the male occupational incumbents and the other based on the total incumbents. As the dependent prestige variable in the estimation equations, the proportion of respondents rating an occupation a "5" or above are used in both measures.

The new scales were assessed in comparison with previous scores updated for the 1980 Census codes by Stevens and Cho (1985). The analysis of GSS data also confirms that the new scores produce expected results. The 1989 Socioeconomic Index for all occupations is presented in Appendix A.

Footnotes:

1

Only 391 of 503 categories in 1989 were assigned scores from single titles that represent those categories. The prestige scores for other categories were derived by averaging scores of multiple titles in categories considered to be too heterogeneous in tasks and status to be adequately covered by a single title. When the proportion of ratings 5 or above was used as the dependent variable, we also computed the proportion for heterogeneous categories by aggregating information from their multiple titles. In other words, the category proportion is the average proportion over its constituent titles.

2

Unfortunately, census data on occupational characteristics are reported in terms of a \$15,000-24,999 bracket. Calculating the proportion of respondents with personal incomes of \$20,000 or more in 1979 could be accomplished with a curve-fitting exercise in interpolation for each detailed occupational category, but this would require strong assumptions regarding the distribution of income within the category. Alternately, the one-percent PUMS could be used to generate estimates. Especially for smaller occupational categories, however, the PUMS estimates would be less reliable due to smaller sample size.

Given the difficulties in obtaining valid and reliable estimates of the proportion with incomes of \$20,000 or more,

the choice is between the lower (\$15,000 or more) and higher, (\$25,000 or more) cut-off. When income data from the PUMS and from the census occupational characteristics source were both plotted against age, the two estimates for the proportion of American adults (age 16 or older) in the labor force with incomes of \$15,000 or more were virtually identical, but the two plots diverged for the \$25,000 or more measure (see Figure 2). This also argued for the choice of \$15,000 or more.

Despite some care in selecting the income measure, there is no evidence that SEI scores are particularly sensitive to the choice of income cut-off. Indeed, SEI scores calculated with the two income measures differed little, correlating .99 or above.

3

Of 503 detailed categories, there are only 500 categories for which the proportion of 5 or above ratings could be computed. The other three categories are all apprentices' categories, 564 BRICKMASON AND STONEMASON'S APPRENTICES, 569 CARPENTER'S APPRENTICES, and 635 TOOL AND DIE MAKER'S APPRENTICES. No titles from these categories were rated in the 1989 inquiry since they make up very small fractions of the labor force. Their scores were estimated from their respective masters' prestige scores, the score for a generic title, APPRENTICE TO A MASTER CRAFTSMAN, and the scores for apprentices to similar occupations such as (see Nakao and Treas, 1990a).

References:

Blau, P. M., and O. D. Duncan. 1967. <u>The American Occupational</u> <u>Structure</u>. New York: Wiley and Sons.

Duncan, O.D. 1961. "A Socioeconomic Index for All

- Occupations." In <u>Occupations and Social Status</u>. Reiss, A.J. Jr., et. al. eds, New York: Free Press of Glencoe.
- Featherman, D. L. and R. M. Hauser. 1976. "Prestige or Socioeconomic Scales in the Study of Occupational Achievement?" <u>Sociological Methods and Research</u> 4:402-422.
- Hauser, R. M. and D. L. Featherman. 1977. <u>The Process of</u> <u>Stratification: Trends and Analyses</u>. Academic Press, New York.
- Nakao, K. and J. Treas. 1990a. "Computing 1989 Occupational Prestige Scores." <u>General Social Survey Methodological</u> <u>Reports</u>, **#**70. Chicago, IL: NORC.
- Nakao, K. and J. Treas. 1990b. "Occupational Prestige Revisited: Twenty-Five Years of Stability and Change." Paper presented at the Annual Meetings of the American Sociological Association, Washington, D.C.
- Stevens, G. and J. H. Cho. 1985. "Socioeconomic Indexes and the New 1980 Census Occupational Classification Scheme." <u>Social</u> <u>Science Research</u>, 14:142-68.
- Stevens, G. and D. L. Featherman. 1981. "A Revised Socioeconomic Index of Occupational Status." <u>Social Science</u> Research, 10:364-395.
- Stevens, G. and E. Hoisington. 1987. "Occupational Prestige and the 1980 U.S. Labor Force." <u>Social Science Research</u>,

16:74-105.

.

Treas, J. 1976. <u>Occupational Attainment Processes</u> of <u>Mature</u> <u>American Women</u>. Unpublished Dissertation. Los Angeles, CA: University of California.

Treas, J. and A. Tyree. 1979. "Prestige Versus Socioeconomic Status in the Attainment Processes of American Men and Women." <u>Social Science Research</u>, 8:201-221.

Table 1

•

.

•

.

Regression Estimates for the 1989 SEI (standard errors in parentheses)

Dependent Variable	P	Prestige Scores				Proportion of "5" or above ratings			
n	50	3	40		500		40		
Labor Force	Male	Total	Male	Total	Male (MSEI)	Total (TSEI)	Male	Total	
Unstandardize	d Coeffic	ients							
Education	0.340 (0.014)	0.387 (0.013)	0.381 (0.074)	0.447 (0.067)	0.546 (0.022)	0.620 (0.020)	0.592 (0.116)	0.695 (0.105)	
Income	0.249 (0.023)	0.173 (0.017)	0.269 (0.097)	0.211 (0.075)	0.406 (0.037)	0.276 (0.027)	0.429 (0.152)	0.332 (0.119)	
Intercept .	19.091	23.613	18.517	22.431	9.291	16.896	9.043	15.377	
Standardized	Coefficie	nts							
Education	0.661	0.734	0.589	0.673	0.663	0.737	0.584	0.668	
Income	0.294	0.248	0.317	0.282	0.300	0.248	0.323	0.284	
R-square	0.704	0.752	0.612	0.697	0.715	0.757	0.612	0.692	
rms	7.945	7.268	10.578	9.346	12.473	11.528	16.568	14.771	

r

			sc	CICECONON	IC INDEX				1989 PRESTIG	
Dependent Variable	. <u></u>	Prestig	e Scores	<u> </u>	Pr	Proportion of "5" or above ratings				
ń	S;	:2	. 4	10	Şù	0		40		
Labor Force	Hale	Total	Male	Total	Male (MSEI)	Total (TSEI)	Male	Total		
Managerial	and Profe	essional :	Specialty	(132)						
Mean	59.63	60.88	63.35	65.97	74.70	76.60	79.34	83.33	62.24	
s.d.	7.21	7.65	7.96	8.96	11.64	12.25	12.50	14.00	10.52	
Technical,	Sales, m	nd Adminis	strative 1	Support (1	102)					
mean	46.19	43.18	48.45	45.30	53.05	48.23	56.03	51.08	40.43	
s.d.	6.85	7.94	7.56	9.33	11.05	12.72	11.87	14.59	10.17	
Service Occ	upetions	(44)							1	
mean	37.16	36.84	38.37	37.88	38.29	38.08	40.19	39.49	34.95	
s.d.	6.82	7.71	7.50	9.09	11.03	12.34	11.81	14.23	11.9	
Precision P	roduction	n, Craft,	and Repai	ir (103)	•				1	
Rean	36.07	36.83	37.11	38.11	36.83	38.03	38.41	39.95	38.51	
s.d.	5.03	4.75	5.51	5.64	8.16	7.60	8.71	8.84	6.96	
Operators,	Fabricato	ors, and l	aborers ((103)						
mean	32.90	33.18	33.63	33.80	31.70	32.19	32.92	33.20	33.38	
s.d.	5.05	4.95	5.52	5.88	8.19	7.92	8.73	9.22	6.56	
Farming, Fo	restry, a	nd Fishir	ng (19)	•					i	
mean	33.71	36.12	34.65	37.10	32.92	36.92	34.38	38.30	35.57	
s.d.	4.27	4.53	4.71	5.33	6.90	7.26	7.40	8.34	8.95	
Total (503)		<u>-</u>							i	
neari	43.65	43.65	45.61	45.94	48.98	48.99	51.60	52.11	43.65	
s.d.	12.23	12.64	13.56	14.74	19.69	20.25	21.22	22.98	14.57	
Min.	22.62	23.72	22.40	22.55	14.99	17.07	15.15	15.54	16.78	
Max.	70.64	73.72	75.48	81.12	92.49	97.16	98.41	107.02	86.05	

/

Means and Standard Deviations of Various SEI Scores and the Prestige Scores by 1980 Census Major Occupational Categories

.

Table 2

Table 3

.

Means, Standard Deviations, and Correlation Coefficients among Various Socioeconomic Indexes and 1989 Prestige Scores (based on 503 detailed occupational categories in the 1980 Census Classification)

· · .	N-T Total SEI	N-T Male SEI	S & C SEI	N-T Prestige	S & H Prestige
Nakao-Treas Total SEI		.970	.961	.867	.858
Nakao-Treas Male SEI			.934	.839	.842
Stevens & Cho SEI				.867	.888
Nakao-Treas Prestige	Scores				.883
Stevens & Hoisington	Prestige	Scores			
Mean S.D.	48.99	48.98	37.93	43.65	41.43

Table 4

Correlation Coefficients among Various Socioeconomic Indexes and 1989 Prestige Scores based on All Respondents in the 1989 General Social Sruvey

	N-T Total SEI	N-T Male SEI	S & C SEI	N-T Prestige	S & H Prestige
Nakao-Treas Total SEI		.950	.956	.856	.851
Nakao-Treas Make SEI			.930	. 836	. 859
Stevens & Cho SEI				.843	.871
Nakao-Treas Prestige S	Scores				.896
Stevens & Hoisington H	Prestige	Scores			
mean s.d. n=1420	46.7 18.5	48.8 18.0	36.1 18.4	42.9 13.5	40.8 14.2



Figure 1

ετεετίσε Score

\$ of Rank 5 & above



Per Cent

۵

OCC. 25K+ TOTAL 2

APPENDIX A

•

•

•

1989 SOCIOECONOMIC INDEX FOR ALL DETAILED CATEGORIES IN THE 1980 CENSUS OCCUPATIONAL CLASSIFICATION

.

Code	Total- based SEI	Male- based SEI	1980 Census Occupationl Cateogry				
MANAG	MANAGERIAL AND PROFESSIONAL SPECIALTY OCCUPATIONS						
Execu	tive, Ad	ministra	tive, and Managerial Occupations				
003	74.02	72.93	Legislators				
004	59.28	56.48	Chief Executives and General Administrators, Public Administration				
005	69.70	72.02	Administrators and Villeals, Public Administration				
007	73.61	80.15					
008	68.80	71.91	Personnel and Labor Relations Managers				
009	74.90	76.88	Purchasing Managers				
013	73.26	73.80	Managers, Marketing, Advertising, and Public Relations				
014	85.06	86-19	Administrators, Education and Related Fields				
015	74.42	55.96	Managers, Medicing and neelin Managers, Properties and Real Retate				
017	55.07	61.59	Postmasters and Mail Superintendents				
018	73.96	68.38	Funeral Directors				
019	63.53	64.87	Managers and Administrators, n.e.c.				
023	76.43	81.29	Accountants and Auditors				
024	60.37	76.33	Underwriters Other Financial Officers				
025	82.67	81.22	Management Analysts				
027	63.20	65.55	Personnel, Training, and Labor Relations Specialists				
028	49.31	46.23	Purchasing Agents and Buyers, Farm Products				
029	58.33	60.33	Buyers, Wholesale and Retail Trade Except Farm Products				
033	62.10	65.62 59.91	Purchasing Agents and Buyers				
034	54.85	50.46	Construction Inspectors				
036	62.54	60.16	Inspectors and Compliance Officers, Except Construction				
037	68.06	74.82	Management Related Occupations, n.e.C.				
Profe	ssional	Specialt	y Occupations				
043	83.89	78.55	Architects				
044	93.34	89.07	Aerospace Engineers				
045	88.22	85.19	Metallurgical and Materials Engineers				
046	83.71	81.34	Mining Engineers Detroleum Engineers				
047	92.82	89.54	Chemical Engineers				
049	91.33	88.45	Nuclear Engineers				
053	86.51	82.67	Civil Engineers				
054	86.20	82.86	Agricultural Engineers				
055	86.64	83.93	Electrical and Electronic Engineers				
056	78.00	92 63	Industrial Engineers				
057	71.30	68.56	Marine and Naval Architects				
059	87.90	84.30	Engineers, n.e.C.				
063	58.81	54.06	Surveyors and Mapping Scientists				
064	83.65	83.02	Computer Systems Analysts and Scientists				
065	79.81	80.46	Operations and Systems Researchers and Analysts				
067	07.75 75 75	79.60	nulualled Statisticians				
068	91.73	88.48	Mathematical Scientists, n.e.c.				
069	90.83	86.49	Physicists and Astronomers				
073	86.82	83.75	Chemists, Except Biochemists				
074	78.86	77.87	Atmospheric and Space Scientists				
075	90.14	86.51	Geologists and Geodesists				
075	04.40 71.26	70.79	Apricultural and Food Scientists				
078	83.96	79.64	Biological and Life Scientists				

		_	
079	72.01	66.95	Forestry and Conservation Scientists
083	85 06	85.58	Medical Scientists
	07.16	02 40	
084	3/.10	72.47	
085	96.04	92.26	Dentists
086	90.33	87.41	Veterinarians
087	93 11	89.81	Optometrists
	01 01	07 01	Bodistricte
000	71.23	0/.01	
089	86.93	83.09	Health Diagnosing Practitioners, n.e.C.
095	73.23	69.62	Registered Nurses
200	88 82	87 14	Dharmacieta
030		40 47	
097	55.09	49.4/	Dietitians
098	61.36	62.41	Inhalation Therapists
099	73.75	61.66	Occupational Therapists
102	77 60	75 20	Dhuaigal The variate
102	13.07	/3.20	Ludarcar Instablaca
104	76.45	77.60	Speech Therapists
105	64.40	61.47	Therapists, n.e.c.
106	52 45	58 34	Physicians' Assistants
100	05 74	01 01	The second second second second for the second se
113	85.74	81.21	Earth, Environmental, and Marine Science leachers
114	87.49	84.34	Biological Science Teachers
115	87.33	82.65	Chemistry Teachers
. 1 .		A 10 A	
110	00.93	03.00	
117	82.50	83.11	Natural Science Teachers, n.e.c.
118	88.27	86.79	Psychology Teachers
119	87.44	84.06	Economics Teachers
122	80 60	84 42	History Teachers
143	00.37	74 1 7	naturi istonet e
124	80.07	/4.12	ROTIFICAT Scrauce leacuels
125	88.73	85.82	Sociology Teachers
126	85.45	82.22	Social Science Teachers, n.e.c.
120	84 00	00.00	
121	04.99	00.02	Engineering leachers
128	84.24	80.57	Mathematical Science Teachers
129	74.56	70.13	Computer Science Teachers
132	92 87	90.67	Nedical Science Teachers
133	22.07	20.07	
134	81.2/	/3.01	Health Speciallies leachers
135	83.21	82.76	Business, Commerce, and Marketing Teachers
136	87.20	83.76	Agriculture and Forestry Teachers
137	80.90	78.38	Art, Drama, and Music Teachers
138	78 78	75.54	Physical Education Teachers
130	10.70	02 40	
133	05.70	02.40	
143	81.91	78.92	English Teachers
144	79.90	77.53	Foreign Language Teachers
145	93.74	90.55	Law Teachers
146	80 33	86.43	Social Work Teachers
140	07.33	01 56	
14/	8/.44	01.30	
148	71.45	60./0	Trade and Industrial Teachers
149	79.27	70.84	Home Economics Teachers
153	83.22	80.97	Teachers, Postsecondary, n.e.c.
164	96 99	93 77	Postsecondary Teachers, Subject Not Specified
124	00.90		To the provide state of the sector of the sector
155	56.50	51.44	Teachers, Prekindergarten and Kindergarten
156	78.50	77.20	Teachers, Elementary School
157	80.33	77.91	Teachers, Secondary School
169	64 36	68 50	Teachers, Special Education
150	62.40	60.30	
137	02.47		Courseless Hugetienst and Verstienst
163	80.86	10.32	Connectors' Foncectonet and Acceptonet
164	71.60	70.78	Librarians
165	71.17	66.13	Archivists and Curators
166	94 67	85 20	Rennomista
100		70 07	
167	82.70	/9.8/	LaAcuolodisca
168	80.22	75.33	Sociologists
169	77.77	74.62	Social Šcientists, n.e.c.
173	85 76	81.79	Urban Planners
175	60 10	67 06	
1/4	07.17	07.00	
175	51.76	53.96	Recreation workers
176	73.92	62.77	Clergy
177	64.78	60.55	Religious Workers, n.e.c.
179	92 20	88 19	Lauvers
170	32.30	06.10	
7/3	80.//	00.00	vuuges
183	75.90	70.04	Authors
184	78.68	76.74	Technical Writers
185	60.61	64.10	Designers
194	56 61	47 17	Musicians and Composers
100			
187	12.45	01.03	Actors and Directors
188	63.16	58.28	Painters, Sculptors, Craft-Artists, and Artist Printmakers
189	58.95	54.72	Photographers .

•

•

•

193	43.66	47.89	Dancers
194	52.45	47.84	Artists, Performers, and Related Workers, n.e.c.
195	75.17	74.39	Editors and Reporters
197	73.75	74.97	Public Relations Specialists
198	60.29	53.86	Announcers
199	59.25	55.12	Athletes

.

TECHNICAL, SALES, AND ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT OCCUPATIONS

Technicians and Related Support Occupations

203	64.75 74.09	63.71 63.49	Clinical Laboratory Technologists and Technicians Dental Hygienists
205	58.07	63.55	Health Record Technologists and Technicians
206	59.89	61.80	Radiologic Technicians
207	43.70	41.15	Licensed Practical Nurses
208	50.69	54.73	Health Technologists and Technicians, n.e.c.
213	60.52	59.49	Electrical and Électronic Technicians
214	56.38	57.76	Industrial Engineering Technicians
215	63.90	61.55	Mechanical Engineering-Technicians
216	61.85	61.13	Engineering Technicians, n.e.c.
217	61.98	59-11	Drafting Occupations
218	49.88	45.52	Surveying and Mapping Technicians
223	53.18	53,29	Biological Technicians.
224	62.20	60.95	Chemical Technicians
225	59.09	58.56	Science Technicians, n.e.c.
226	80.03	75.19	Airplane Pilots and Navigators
227	63.99	64.50	Air Traffic Controllers
228	45.65	48.30	Broadcast Equipment Operators
229	76.31	75.59	Computer Programmers
233	70.03	69.59	Tool Programmers, Numerical Control
234	57.12	61.15	Legal Assistants
235	66.08	62.83	Technicians, n.e.c.

Sales Occupations

.

.

	•••• <u></u>		
243	50.72	50.89	Supervisors and Proprietors, Sales Occupations
253	65.83	67.13	Insurance Sales Occupations
254	64.23	66.26	Real Estate Sales Occupations
255	80.99	79.99	Securities and Financial Services Sales Occupations
256	66.11	67.62	Advertising and Related Sales Occupations
257	61.91	64.17	Sales Occupations, Other Business Services
258	86.67	83.77	Sales Engineers
259	64.08	62.91	Sales Representatives, Mining, Manufacturing, and Wholesale
263	48.59	45.14	Sales Workers, Motor Vehicles and Boats
264	38.36	46.11	Sales Workers, Apparel
265	40.04	43.10	Sales Workers, Shoes
266	46.80	47.08	Sales Workers, Furniture and Home Furnishings
267	50.28	49.34	Sales Workers, Radio, TV, Hi-Fi, and Appliances
268	43.38	42.15	Sales Workers, Hardware and Building Supplies
269	39.48	36.48	Sales Workers, Parts
274	38.92	46.59	Sales Workers, Other Commodities
275	33.87	42.33	Sales Counter Clerks
276	33.06	41.52	Cashiers
277	37.39	48.09	Street and Door-To-Door Sales Workers
278	36.98	36.95	News Vendors
283	37.27	47.10	Demonstrators, Promoters and Models, Sales
284	44.51	40.98	Auctioneers
285	48.94	53.71	Sales Support Occupations, n.e.c.

Administrative Support Occupations, Including Clerical

303	51.86	60.65	Supervisors, General Office
304	66.33	71.92	Supervisors, Computer Equipment Operators
305	65.19	79.30	Supervisors, Financial Records Processing
306	58.11	61.72	Chlef Communications Operators
307	50.97	50.88	Supervisors, Distribution, Scheduling, and Adjusting Clerks
308	46.68	55.31	Computer Operators
309	39.81	46.98	Peripheral Equipment Operators
313	38.40	52.48	Secretaries
314	45.35	66.01	Stenographers
315	34.56	41.80	Typists

316	44.28	52.91	Interviewers
317	40.18	43.48	Hotel Clerks
318	57.14	58.89	Transportation Ticket and Reservation Agents
319	36.51	50.16	Receptionists
323	40.44	51.58	Information Clerks, n.e.c.
325	45.00	60.25	Classified-Ad Clerks
326	44.52	61.11	Correspondence Clerks
327	38.46	42.90	Order Clerks
328	39.35	56.15	Personnel Clerks, Except Payroll and Timekeeping
329	52.30	55.10	Library Clerks
335	36.72	45.23	File Clerks
336	39.03	50.93	Records Clerks
337	37.95	55.32	Bookkeepers, Accounting and Auditing Clerks
338	36.07	49.22	Payroll and Timekeeping Clerks
339	33.32	46.97	Billing Clerks
343	43.60	59.21	Cost and Rate Clerks
344	33.88	45.96	Billing, Posting, and Calculating Machine Operators
345	37.88	39.50	Duplicating Machine Operators
346	30.21	35.21	Mail Preparing and Paper Handling Machine Operators
347	33.82	36.57	Office Machine Operators, n.e.c.
346	30.65	44.83	Telephone (Dearstore
349	46.03	49.18	Telegraphers
353	32.90	46.17	Communications Equipment Operators, D.e.C.
354	54.16	57.57	Postal Clerks, Excluding Mail Carriers
355	53.90	54.73	Mail Carriers, Postal Service
356	36.16	36.13	Mail Clerks, Excluding Postal Service
357	38.70	34.43	Messengers
359	44.23	46.50	Dispatchers
363	46.99	52.33	Production Coordinators
364	33.57	31.54	Traffic. Shipping and Receiving Clerks
365	37.29	37.22	Stock and Inventory Clerks
366	37.19	33.71	Meter Readers
368	33.58	35.69	Weighers, Measurers, and Checkers
369	38.48	38.14	Samplers
373	41.61	47.12	Expediters
374	33.55	50.74	Material Recording, Scheduling and Distributing Clerks, n.e.C.
375	54.67	68.83	Insurance Adjusters, Examiners, and Investigators
376	53.28	64.44	Investigators and Adjusters, Except Insurance
377	53.46	55.93	Eligibility Clerks, Social Welfare
178	42.29	47.69	Bill and Account Collectors
379	37.72	46.28	General Office Clerks
181	35.39	49.01	Bank Tellers
384	46.14	53.78	Proofreaders
385	31.35	46.51	Data-Entry Kevers
386	43.54	55.34	Statistical Clerks
387	36.92	51.41	Teachers' Aides
389	50.51	59.75	Administrative Support Occupations, n.e.c.

SERVICE OCCUPATIONS

-

Private Household Occupations

403	29.21	33.14	Launderers and Ironers
404	25.42	25.11	Cooks, Private Household
405	22.62	22.38	Housekeepers and Butlers
406	30.82	34.05	Child Care Workers, Private Household
407	22.45	23.14	Private Household Cleaners and Servants

Protective Service Occupations

413	63.19	61.01	Supervisors, Firefighting and Fire Prevention Occupations
414	70.46	67.79	Supervisors, Police and Detectives
415	54.53	49.90	Supervisors, Guards
416	53.36	49.75	Fire Inspection and Fire Prevention Occupations
417	52.44	50.47	Firefighting Occupations
418	63.20	60.56	Police and Detectives, Public Service
423	53.05	48.74	Sheriffs, Bailiffs, and Other Law Enforcement Officers
424	45.79	41.24	Correctional Institution Officers
425	23.33	30.21	Crossing Guards
426	39.39	33.79	Guards and Police, Excluding Public Service
427	48.90	43.30	Protective Service Occupations

Servi	.ce Occup	pations,	Except Protective and Household
433	37.88	39.09	Supervisors, Food Preparation and Service Occupations
434	33.85	32.55	Bartenders
435	32.38	38.95	Waiters and Waitresses
436	27.53	31.40	Cooks, Except Short Order
437	32.73	35.88	Short-Order Cooks
438	35.21	37.97	Food Counter, Fountain and Related Occupations
439	29.09	33.81	Kitchen Workers, Food Preparation
443	34.75	37.04	Waiters'/Waitresses' Assistants
444	29.03	32.33	Miscellaneous Food Preparation Occupations
445	39.59	51.67	Dental Assistants
446	36.51	40.63	Health Aids, Except Nursing
447	29.29	34.00	Nursing Aides, Orderlies and Attendants
448	35.07	34.32	Supervisors, Cleaning and Building Service Workers
449	21.21	21.69	Malds and Housemen
453	28.37	24.52	Janitors and Cleaners
454	27.49	22.06	Elevator Operators
455	33.32	27.63	Pest Control Occupations
456	44.35	43.49	Supervisors, Personal Service Occupations
457	29.82	22.95	Barbers
458	26.39	32.33	Hairdressers and Cosmetologists
459	40.04	38.15	Attendants, Amusement and Recreation Facilities
463	48.57	44.64	Guides
464	46.21	44.25	Ushers
465	63.46	55.88	Public Transportation Attendants
466	36.72	31.15	Baggage Porters and Bellhops
467	31.16	45.20	Welfare Service Aides
468	33.06	41.26	Child Care Workers, Except Private Household
469	34.44	35.19	Personal Service Occupations, n.e.c.

FARMING, FOREST, AND FISHING OCCUPATIONS

Farm Operators and Managers

473	37.26	31.65	Farmers, Except Horticultural
474	45.44	40.39	Horticultural Specialty Farmers
475	45.15	40.27	Managers, Farms, Except Horticultural
476	38.68	34.58	Managers, Horticultural Specialty Farms

Farm Occupations, Except Managerial

477	39.71	35.23	Supervisors, Farm Workers
479	26.54	21.96	Farm Workers
483	37.09	37.44	Marine Life Cultivation Workers
484	30.50	27.43	Nursery Workers

Related Agricultural Occupations

485	43.31	38.65	Supervisors, Related Agricultural Occupations
486	31.37	25.57	Groundskeepers and Gardeners, Except Farm
487	37.50	32.88	Animal Caretakers, Except Farm
488	19.51	19.62	Graders and Sorters, Agricultural Products
489	42.05	38.61	Inspectors, Agricultural Products

Forestry and Logging Occupations

494	43.06	39.74	Supervisors, Forestry and Logging Workers
495	37.53	32.69	Forestry Workers, Except Logging
496	26.91	22.09	Timber Cutting and Logging Occupations

Fishers, Hunters, and Trappers

497	41.96	37.98	Captains and Other Officers, Fishing Vessels	
498	32.80	28.14	Fishers	
499	45.04	40.56	Hunters and Trappers	

PRECISION PRODUCTION, CRAFT, AND REPAIR OCCUPATIONS

/

Mechanics and Repairers

503	48.88	47.09	Supervisors, Mechanics and Repairers
505	32.28	28.95	Automobile Mechanics, Except Apprentices
506	37.77	35.75	Automobile Mechanic Apprentices
507	35.41	33.71	Bus, Truck, and Stationary Engine Mechanics
508	52.53	51.05	Aircraft Engine Mechanics
509	31.71	27.71	Small Engine Repairers
514	30.00	46 10	Automobile Body and Related Repairers
212	40.20	40.19	Aircraft Mechanics, Excluding Engine
512	37.04	20.50	Heavy Equipment Mechanics
51/	33.31	23.33	rarm Equipment Auchanics
510	30.40	34.07	Industrial machinery repairers
272	37.03	33.31	Rectioner Constraints Computations and Industrial Emisment
525	43.47	41.20	Data Drocassing Fuirment Densigers
525	29 77	34 65	Household Appliance and Power Tool Bensivers
520	JO. //	A5 83	Tolophone Line Installer and Densirers
520	49.30	40.00	Telephone Installers and Pensirers
527	40.75	40 12	Miscallaneous Flactrical and Flactronic Emuinment Benairers
533	19 07	35 03	Heating bir Conditioning, and Defrigeration Mechanics
534	45 82	41 86	Camera, Watch, and Musical Instrument Repairers
535	39 93	34.48	Lockemithe and Safe Sensirars
538	47.04	43.24	Office Machine Repairers
539	38.50	36.27	Mechanical Controls and Valve Repairers
543	45.37	45 25	Elevator Installers and Repairers
544	42.24	42.79	Millwrights
547	38.08	35.86	Specified Mechanics and Repairers, n.e.c.
549	38.20	36.00	Not Specified Mechanics and Repairers
Const	truction	Trades	
553	42.32	40.67	Supervisors, Brickmasons, Stonemasons, and Title Setters
554	43.41	41.58	Supervisors, Carpenters and Related Work
555	53.39	52.85	Supervisors, Electricians and Power Transmission Installers
556	41.14	39.35	Supervisors, Painters, Paperhangers, and Plasterers
557	47.57	47.48	Supervisors, Plumbers, Pipefitters, and Steamfitters
558	49.60	46.60	Supervisors, n.e.C.
563	29.41	25.50	Brickmasons and Stonemasons, Except Apprentices
564	32.81	31.44	Brickmasons and Stonemasons Apprentices
565	33.31	29.97	Tile Setters, Hard and Soft
566	30.20	27.56	Carpet Installers
567	34.20	29.85	Carpenters, Except Apprentices
569	36.71	34.95	Carpenter Apprentices
573	30.02	27.83	Drywall Installers
575	44.66	43.24	Blectricians, Except Apprentices
576	42.74	41.47	Electrician Apprentices
577	43.15	43.40	Electrical Power Installers and Repairers
579	31.26	26.49	Painters, Construction and Maintenance
583	37.41	33.64	Paperhangers
584	30.62	26.95	Plasterers
585	38.07	36.20	Plumbers, Pipefitters, and Steamfitters, Except Apprentices
587	36.47	35.88	Plumber, Pipefitter, and Steamfitter Apprentices
588	28.55	24.92	Concrete and Terrazzo Finishers
589	33.99	31.84	Glaziers
593	34.28	32.64	Insulation Workers
594	26.41	22.05	Paving, Surfacing, and Tamping Equipment Operators
595	26.69	23.10	KOOIErs
596	37.43	35.98	Sheetmetal Duct Installers
597	37.33	36.41	Structural Metal Workers
598	31.28	28.73	Drillers, Earth
599	29.52	25.72	Construction Trades, n.e.c.
Rytes	ctive Oc	cupation	8
DALLI		cupación	
613	52.33	52.04	Supervisors, Extractive Occupations
614	36.46	36.60	Drillers, Oil Well
615	35.44	34.83	Explosives Workers
616	36.36	37.01	Mining Machine Operators
_		A	
Preci	Laion Pro	auction	OCCUPATIONS
617		38 95	Mining Occupations, n.e.C.
	38.51		
611	38.51 48.54	49.28	Supervisors, Production Occupations
633 634	38.51 48.54 45.89	49.28	Supervisors, Production Occupations Tool and Die Makers, Except Apprentices
633 634	38.51 48.54 45.89	49.28 45.46	Supervisors, Production Occupations Tool and Die Makers, Except Apprentices

,

.

.

.

635	45.86	46.55	Tool and Die Maker Apprentices
636	37.00	39.15	Precision Assemblers, Metal
637	38.22	37.51	Machinists, Except Apprentices
639	41.28	40.78	Machinist Apprentices
643	40.50	40.47	Boilermakers
644	37.82	37.66	Precision Grinders, Fitters, and Tool Sharpeners
645	48.44	48.01	Patternmakers and Model Makers, Metal
646	36.52	35.05	Lav-Out Workers
647	36.44	34.56	Precious Stones and Metals Workers
649	36.66	37.63	Engravers, Metal
653	37.84	36.51	Sheet Metal Workers, Except Apprentices
654	45.05	43.76	Sheet Metal Worker, Apprentices
655	31.07	31.47	Miscellaneous Precision Metal Workers
656	48.65	47.40	Patternmakers and Model Makers, Wood
657	33.93	29.70	Cabinet Makers and Bench Carpenters
658	28.00	24.77	Furniture and Wood Finishers
659	33.90	32.50	Miscellaneous Precision Woodworkers
666	25.52	25.92	Dressmakers
667	25.90	20.76	Tailors
668	25.75	21.00	Upholsterers
569	25.46	21.93	Shoe Reneivers.
673	39.85	41.63	Apparel and Fabric Patternmakers
674	28.86	32.32	Miscellaneous Precision Apparel and Fabric Workers
675	29.21	26.70	Hand Molders and Shapers, Except Jewelers
676	42.88	41.53	Patternmakers, Lav-Out Workers, and Cutters
677	42.53	44:64	Optical Goods Workers
678	45.93	45.87	Dental Laboratory and Medical Appliance Technicians
679	27.68	33.32	Bookbinders
683	25.20	32.84	Electrical and Electronic Equipment Assemblers
684	32.89	32.59	Miscellaneous Precision Workers, n.e.C.
686	33.06	33.13	Butchers and Meat Cutters
687	29.33	28.63	Bakers
688	27.25	27.11	Food Batchmakers
689	42.20	46.20	Inspectors, Testers, and Graders
693	25.36	38.55	Adjusters and Calibrators
694	39.39	34.66	Water and Sewage Treatment Plant Operators
695	49.35	49.61	Power Plant Operators
696	49.85	48.18	Stationary Engineers
699	44.82	44.06	Miscellaneous Plant and System Operators

OPERATORS, FABRICATORS, AND LABORERS

Machine Operators, Assemblers, and Inspectors

703 32.9 704 35.7 705 36.0 706 27.1 707 37.2 708 31.9 709 29.5 713 33.4 714 45.2 715 35.7	5 32.21 1 35.64 3 35.85 6 28.28 8 38.81 2 33.46 8 28.99 1 33.66 3 46.13 0 37.96	Lathe and Turning Machine Set-Up Operators Lathe and Turning Machine Operators Milling and Planing Machine Operators Punching and Stamping Press Machine Operators Rolling Machine Operators Drilling and Boring Machine Operators Grinding, Abrading, Buffing, and Polishing Machine Operators Forging Machine Operators Numerical Control Machine Operators Miscellaneous Metal, Plastic, Stone, and Glass Working Machine Operat
717 27.4 719 26.6 723 29.5 724 36.9 725 27.3 726 30.2 727 24.1 728 24.7 729 20.6 733 31.9 734 36.5 735 45.2 736 41.3 737 30.8 738 17.6 739 19.3 743 21.2	8 29.53 2 28.26 1 27.96 2 37.10 6 25.54 0 28.15 7 24.24 9 18.38 3 29.16 0 35.51 3 46.73 1 43.21 3 35.82 3 18.06 7 17.05 5 18.66	Fabricating Machine Operators, n.e.C. Molding and Casting Machine Operators Metal Plating Machine Operators Heat Treating Equipment Operators Miscellaneous Metal and Plastic Processing Machine Operators Wood Lathe, Routing and Planing Machine Operators Sawing Machine Operators Shaping and Joining Machine Operators Nailing and Tacking Machine Operators Miscellaneous Woodworking Machine Operators Printing Machine Operators Photoengravers and Lithographers Typesetters and Compositors Miscellaneous Printing Machine Operators Winding and Twisting Machine Operators Knitting, Looping, Taping, and Weaving Machine Operators Textile Cutting Machine Operators

r's

.

.

745	17 07	14 99	Shoe Machine Operators
747	10 45	16 57	
141	10.45	10.22	Pressing Machine Operators
748	22.93	24.76	Laundering and Dry Cleaning Machine Operators
749	20.09	17.57	Miscellaneous Textile Machine Operators
753	25 25	26 80	Genering and Sluing Machine Operators
733	43.23	20.00	Cemencing and Stuling Machine Operators
754	25.25	20.5/	Packaging and Silling Machine Operators
755	29.69	28.72	Extruding and Forming Machine Operators
756	32.09	29.76	Mixing and Blanding Machine Operators
767	40.07	42 02	
/3/	42.3/	42.73	separating, filtering, and clarifying Machine Operators
758	26.33	24.95	Compressing and Compacting Machine Operators
759	28.23	26.96	Painting and Paint Spraving Machine Operators
763	20 04	29 67	Posting and Babies Machine Contract Sod
105	43.34	20.07	Roasting and Baking Machine Operators, Food
764	29.4/	30.20	wasning, Cleaning, and Pickling Machine Operators
765	21.64	24.52	Folding Machine Operators
766	36.49	35.43	Furnace, Kiln and Oven Operators Except Food
760	20.25	20 44	
/00	30.35	20.44	crushing and grinding Machine Operators
769	26.36	25.73	Slicing and Cutting Machine Operators
773	49.73	45.37	Motion Picture Projectionists
774	40.10	43.05	Photographic Brocess Machine Operators
		21 20	
	27.30	31.30	Alscellaneous and Not Specified Machine Operators, n.e.C.
775	27.73	22.07	Haching Sperstore, Not Specified
783	32.31	31.38	Welders and Cutters
784	21.14	26.43	Solderers and Blazers
705	26 22	30 33	
105	20.72	30.22	
790	20.33	28.31	Hand Cutting and Trimming Occupations
787	33.11	28.77	Hand Molding, Casting, and Forming Occupations
789	33.92	32:41	Hand Painting Coating, and Decorating Occupations
702	34 60	25 27	Hand Farming, and Printing Controlling Occupations
173	34.00	33.37	nand Engraving and Printing Occupations
794	24.52	22.54	Hand Grinding and Polishing Occupations
795	27.85	30.64	Miscellaneous Hand Working Occupations
796	31.95	40.85	Production Inspectors, Checkers, and Evaminers
707	39 03	A3 87	Broduction Masters
700	20 50	21 64	Production lesters
/30	27.37	31.34	Production Samplers and weighers
799	24.78	29.06	Graders and Sorters, Except Agricultural
Tran	sportati	on and M	aterial Moving Occupations
803	47.83	46.26	Supervisors, Motor Vehicle Operators
804	31.54	28.85	Truck Drivers, Heavy
905	35 07	22.22	
003	33.07	36.26	lluck Drivers, Light
800	38.40	36.70	Driver-Sales Workers
808	29.75	33.02	Bus Drivers
809	33.21	27.16	Taxicab Drivers and Chauffeurs
813	34.68	29.59	Parking Lot Attendants
014	21 11	26 67	
014	51.11	20.57	Hocor fransportation occupations, n.e.c.
823	50.18	50.79	Railroad Conductors and Yardmasters
824	50.48	51.26	Locomotive Operating Occupations
825	45.84	46.69	Railroad Brake, Signal, and Switch Operators
826	49 86	49 66	Rail Vehicle Operators n.e.c.
010	47.00	46 37	Chin Castalas and Vatar Branch Bicking Free
020	4/.71	40.37	Ship captains and Mates, Except Fishing Boats
829	37.23	34.42	Sallors and Deckhands
833	41.96	39.59	Marine Engineers
834	35.57	32.18	Bridge, Lock and Lighthouse Tenders
843	49 31	47 24	Supervisore Naterial Moving Province Constant
044	20.21	20 14	Operations, material noving squipment Operators
044	32.13	30.14	operating Engineers
845	41.20	39.94	Longsnore Equipment Operators
848	35.12	36.06	Hoist and Winch Operators
849	36.46	36.17	Crane and Tower Operators
853	30 30	27.01	Excavating and Loading Machine Operators
055	20.32	31 EC	Creater Deserver and Severe Operators
000	∠0.JD	24.30	oranar, norar, and octabel obelatols
926	29.18	26.80	Industrial Truck and Tractor Equipment Operators
859	31.39	31.57	Miscellaneous Material Moving Equipment Operators
Hand	lers, Equ	lipment (Cleaners, Helpers, and Laborers
	-	-	-
863	50.24	48.21	Supervisors, Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, and Laborers, n.e.c.
864	30.48	28.09	Helpers, Mechanics and Penalters
965	20 02	25 71	Holorg Construction Made
003	20.03	23./1	neipers, construction fraces
900	38.25	33.99	neipers, Surveyor
867	33.55	33.11	Helpers, Extractive Occupations
869	28.58	24.41	Construction Laborers
877	20 62	28 34	Production Helpers
ניט	¥7.0J	20.34	LIONACITON NEIDER
076	24.62	20 04	Carbana Callashara

•

876	37.98	35.94	Stevedores	
877	37.63	39.15	Stock Handlers and Baggers	
878	25.77	25.66	Machine Feeders and Offbearers	
883	34.33	32.10	Freight, Stock, and Material Handlers, n.e.c.	•
885	32.32	29.61	Garage and Service Station Related Occupations	
887	29.52	27.44	Vehicle Washers and Equipment Cleaners	
888	22.68	25.53	Hand Packers and Packagers	
889	29.22	27.40	Laborers, Except Construction	
			-	
888 889	22.68 29.22	25.53 27.40	Hand Packers and Packagers Laborers, Except Construction	

•

1

•